

Notice of Meeting

Northern Area Planning Committee

Date: Thursday 26 August 2021

Time: 5.30 pm

Venue: Conference Room 1, Beech Hurst, Weyhill Road, Andover,
Hampshire, SP10 3AJ

For further information or enquiries please contact:

Sally Prior - 01264 368024
sprior@testvalley.gov.uk

Legal and Democratic Service

Test Valley Borough Council,
Beech Hurst, Weyhill Road,
Andover, Hampshire,
SP10 3AJ

www.testvalley.gov.uk

The recommendations contained in the Agenda are made by the Officer and these recommendations may or may not be accepted by the Committee.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SCHEME

If members of the public wish to address the meeting they should notify the Legal and Democratic Service at the Council's Beech Hurst office by noon on the working day before the meeting.

Membership of Northern Area Planning Committee

MEMBER

WARD

Councillor C Borg-Neal (Chairman)	Andover Harroway
Councillor T Burley (Vice-Chairman)	Andover Harroway
Councillor Z Brooks	Andover Millway
Councillor J Budzynski	Andover St Mary's
Councillor D Coole	Anna
Councillor C Ecclestone	Andover Millway
Councillor V Harber	Andover St Mary's
Councillor L Lashbrook	Charlton & the Pentons
Councillor P Lashbrook	Bellinger
Councillor N Lodge	Andover Downlands
Councillor J Neal	Andover Millway
Councillor K North	Andover Romans
Councillor R Rowles	Andover Winton

Northern Area Planning Committee

Thursday 26 August 2021

AGENDA

The order of these items may change as a result of members
of the public wishing to speak

- 1 Apologies
- 2 Public Participation
- 3 Declarations of Interest
- 4 Urgent Items
- 5 Minutes of the meeting held on 15 July 2021
- 6 Information Notes 4 - 9
- 7 19/00428/FULLN - 21.02.2019 10 - 26

(OFFICER RECOMMENDATION: PERMISSION)

SITE: 4 Fairways, Weyhill, Andover, SP11 8DW

AMPORT

CASE OFFICER: Mr Graham Melton

- 8 21/01734/FULLN - 08.06.2021 27 - 33

(OFFICER RECOMMENDATION: PERMISSION)

SITE: Quippini Cottage, 113 Salisbury Road, Abbots Ann
Down, SP11 7BX **ABBOTTS ANN**

CASE OFFICER: Miss Emma Jones

ITEM 6

TEST VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL NORTHERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE INFORMATION NOTES

Availability of Background Papers

Background papers may be inspected up to five working days before the date of the Committee meeting and for four years thereafter. Requests to inspect the background papers, most of which will be on the application file, should be made to the case officer named in the report or to the Development Manager. Although there is no legal provision for inspection of the application file before the report is placed on the agenda for the meeting, an earlier inspection may be agreed on application to the Head of Planning and Building.

Reasons for Committee Consideration

The majority of applications are determined by the Head of Planning and Building in accordance with the Council's Scheme of Delegation which is set out in the Council's Constitution. However, some applications are determined at the Area Planning Committees and this will happen if any of the following reasons apply:

- (a) Applications which are contrary to the provisions of an approved or draft development plan or other statement of approved planning policy where adverse representations have been received and which is recommended for approval.
- (b) Applications (excluding notifications) where a Member requests in writing, with reasons and within the Application Publicity Expiry Date, that they be submitted to Committee. A Member can withdraw this request at any time prior to the determination of the application to enable its determination under delegated powers.
- (c) Applications submitted by or on behalf of the Council, or any company in which the Council holds an interest, for its own developments except for the approval of minor developments.
- (d) Applications where the Head of Planning and Building Services recommends refusal of an application solely on the basis of failure to achieve nutrient neutrality where a Ward Member requests in writing, with reasons, within 72 hours of notification of the recommendation for refusal that they be submitted to Committee for determination. A Member can withdraw this request at any time prior to the determination of the application to enable its determination under delegated powers.
- (e) To determine applications (excluding applications for advertisement consent, certificates of lawfulness, listed building consent, and applications resulting from the withdrawal by condition of domestic permitted development rights;

Schedule 2, Part 1, Classes B, C, D, E, F, G, and H of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 or as amended) on which a material planning objection(s) has been received within the Application Publicity Expiry Date and which cannot be resolved by negotiation or through the imposition of conditions and where the officer's recommendation is for approval, following consultation with the Ward Members, the latter having the right to request that the application be reported to Committee for decision.

Public Speaking at the Meeting

The Council has a public participation scheme, which invites members of the public, Parish Council representatives and applicants to address the Committee on applications. Full details of the scheme are available from Planning and Building Services or from Democratic Services at the Council Offices, Beech Hurst, Weyhill Road, Andover. Copies are usually sent to all those who have made representations. Anyone wishing to speak must book with the Democratic Services within the stipulated time period otherwise they will not be allowed to address the Committee.

Speakers are limited to a total of three minutes per item for Councillors on the Area Committee who have personal interests or where a Member has pre-determined his/her position on the relevant application, three minutes for the Parish Council, three minutes for all objectors, three minutes for all supporters and three minutes for the applicant/agent and relevant Ward Members who are not Committee Members will have a maximum of five minutes. Where there are multiple supporters or multiple objectors wishing to speak the Chairman may limit individual speakers to less than three minutes with a view to accommodating multiple speakers within the three minute time limit. Speakers may be asked questions by the Members of the Committee, but are not permitted to ask questions of others or to join in the debate. Speakers are not permitted to circulate or display plans, photographs, illustrations or textual material during the Committee meeting as any such material should be sent to the Members *and* officers in advance of the meeting to allow them time to consider the content.

Content of Officer's Report

It should be noted that the Officer's report will endeavour to include a summary of the relevant site characteristics, site history, policy issues, consultations carried out with both internal and external consultees and the public and then seek to make a professional judgement as to whether permission should be granted. However, the officer's report will usually summarise many of the issues, particularly consultations received from consultees and the public, and anyone wishing to see the full response must ask to consult the application file.

Status of Officer's Recommendations and Committee's Decisions

The recommendations contained in this report are made by the officers at the time the report was prepared. A different recommendation may be made at the meeting should circumstances change and the officer's recommendations may not be accepted by the Committee.

In order to facilitate debate in relation to an application, the Chairman will move the officer's recommendations in the report, which will be seconded by the Vice Chairman. Motions are debated by the Committee in accordance with the Council's Rules of Procedure. A binding decision is made only when the Committee has formally considered and voted in favour of a motion in relation to the application and, pursuant to that resolution, the decision notice has subsequently been issued by the Council.

Conditions and Reasons for Refusal

Suggested reasons for refusal and any conditions are set out in full in the officer's recommendation.

Officers or the Committee may add further reasons for refusal or conditions during the Committee meeting and Members may choose to refuse an application recommended for permission by the Officers or to permit an application recommended for refusal. In all cases, clear reasons will be given, by whoever is promoting the new condition or reason for refusal, to explain why the change is being made.

Decisions subject to Completion of a Planning Obligation

For some applications, a resolution is passed to grant planning permission subject to the completion of an appropriate planning obligation (often referred to as a Section 106 agreement). The obligation can restrict development or the use of the land, require operations or activities to be carried out, require the land to be used in a specified way or require payments to be made to the authority.

New developments will usually be required to contribute towards the infrastructure required to serve a site and to cater for additional demand created by any new development and its future occupants. Typically, such requirements include contributions to community facilities, village halls, parks and play areas, playing fields and improvements to roads, footpaths, cycleways and public transport.

Upon completion of the obligation, the Head of Planning and Building is delegated to grant permission subject to the listed conditions. However, it should be noted that the obligation usually has to be completed sufficiently in advance of the planning application determination date to allow the application to be issued. If this does not happen, the application may be refused for not resolving the issues required within the timescale set to deal with the application.

Deferred Applications

Applications may not be decided at the meeting for a number of reasons as follows:

- * The applicant may choose to withdraw the application. No further action would be taken on that proposal and the file is closed.
- * Officers may recommend deferral because the information requested or amended plans have not been approved or there is insufficient time for consultation on amendments.
- * The Committee may resolve to seek additional information or amendments.
- * The Committee may resolve to visit the site to assess the effect of the proposal on matters that are not clear from the plans or from the report. These site visits are not public meetings.

Visual Display of Plans and Photographs

Plans are included in the officers' reports in order to identify the site and its surroundings. The location plan will normally be the most up-to-date available from Ordnance Survey and to scale. The other plans are not a complete copy of the application plans and may not be to scale, particularly when they have been reduced from large size paper plans. If further information is needed or these plans are unclear please refer to the submitted application on the Council's website. Plans displayed at the meeting to assist the Members may include material additional to the written reports.

Photographs are used to illustrate particular points on most of the items and the officers usually take these. Photographs submitted in advance by applicants or objectors may be used at the discretion of the officers.

Human Rights

The European Convention on Human Rights" ("ECHR") was brought into English Law, via the Human Rights Act 1998 ("HRA"), as from October 2000.

The HRA introduces an obligation on the Council to act consistently with the ECHR.

There are 2 Convention Rights likely to be most relevant to Planning Decisions:

- * Article 1 of the 1st Protocol - The Right to the Enjoyment of Property.
- * Article 8 - Right for Respect for Home, Privacy and Family Life.

It is important to note that these types of right are not unlimited - although in accordance with the EU concept of "proportionality", any interference with these rights must be sanctioned by Law (e.g. by the Town & Country Planning Acts) and must go no further than necessary.

Essentially, private interests must be weighed against the wider public interest and against competing private interests. Such a balancing exercise is already implicit in the decision making processes of the Committee. However, Members must specifically bear Human Rights issues in mind when reaching decisions on all planning applications and enforcement action.

Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 (NERC)

The Council has a duty under the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 as follows: "*every public authority must, in exercising its functions, have regard, so far as is consistent with the proper exercise of those functions, to the purpose of conserving biodiversity*".

It is considered that this duty has been properly addressed within the process leading up to the formulation of the policies in the Revised Local Plan. Further regard is had in relation to specific planning applications through completion of the biodiversity checklists for validation, scoping and/or submission of Environmental Statements and any statutory consultations with relevant conservation bodies on biodiversity aspects of the proposals. Provided any recommendations arising from these processes are conditioned as part of any grant of planning permission (or included in reasons for refusal of any planning application) then the duty to ensure that biodiversity interest has been conserved, as far as practically possible, will be considered to have been met.

Other Legislation

Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that determination of applications be made in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The Development Plan for the Borough comprises the Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan (2016), and 'made' Neighbourhood Plans. Material considerations are defined by Case Law and includes, amongst other things, draft Development Plan Documents (DPD), Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD) and other relevant guidance including Development Briefs, Government advice, amenity considerations, crime and community safety, traffic generation and safety.

On the 19th February 2019 the Government published a revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). The revised NPPF replaced and superseded the previous NPPF published in 2018. The revised NPPF is a material consideration in planning decisions.

So that sustainable development is pursued in a positive way, at the heart of the revised NPPF is a presumption in favour of sustainable development. Decisions should apply a presumption in favour of sustainable development. This does not change the statutory status of the development plan as a starting point for decision making. Planning law requires that applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. Where a planning application conflicts with an up to date development plan, permission should not usually be granted. Local planning authorities may take decisions which depart from an up to date development plan,

but only if material considerations in a particular case indicate that the plan should not be followed.

For decision-taking, applying the presumption in favour of sustainable development means:

- Approving development proposals that accord with an up to date development plan without delay; or
- Where there are no relevant development plan policies, or the policies which are most important for determining the application are out of date, granting permission unless:
 - The application of policies in the revised NPPF that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear reason for refusing the development proposed; or
 - Any adverse impact of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the revised NPPF when taken as a whole.

Existing Local Plan policies should not be considered out of date because they were adopted prior to the publication of the revised NPPF. Due weight should be given to them, according to their degree of consistency with the revised NPPF (the closer the policies in the Local Plan to the policies in the revised NPPF, the greater the weight that may be given).

ITEM 7

APPLICATION NO.	19/00428/FULLN
APPLICATION TYPE	FULL APPLICATION - NORTH
REGISTERED	21.02.2019
APPLICANT	Mr Derek Douch
SITE	4 Fairways, Weyhill, Andover, SP11 8DW AMPORT
PROPOSAL	Erection of attached dwelling and construction of vehicular access
AMENDMENTS	Received on 26.04.2019: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Details – Amended proposed visibility splays Received on 01.04.2019: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Amended Proposed Composite Plan (reflecting additional window detailing)• Details – Proposed visibility splays
CASE OFFICER	Mr Graham Melton

Background paper (Local Government Act 1972 Section 100D)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The application is presented to Northern Area Planning Committee at the request of a Local Ward member.

2.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

- 2.1 The application site is a two storey, end of terrace property located on the east side of Fairways in the close proximity to the junction with Fyfield Road to the north.

- 2.2 The property is in the settlement area of Weyhill.

3.0 PROPOSAL

- 3.1 The proposal is for an additional 3-bedroom dwelling on site, facilitated by the erection of a two storey extension measuring approximately 6.6m (length) by 6.2m (width) by 6.6m (height), to adjoin the side (west) elevation of the existing dwelling.
- 3.2 In addition, the proposed dwelling also includes a single storey front (north) porch, measuring approximately 2.7m by 1.6m by 3.2m.
- 3.3 The proposed dwelling would be served by a new vehicular access onto Fairways and driveway area providing 2 car parking spaces, located on the side (western) boundary of the plot. The existing vehicular access and parking area located at the rear (south) of the plot will be retained for the existing dwelling.
- 3.4 The application has amended to include the submission of visibility splay drawings and the addition of ground floor windows on the side (west) elevation.

4.0 **RECENT HISTORY**

4.1 **18/03333/FULLN** - Front porch, new first floor front elevation. *Permission subject to conditions and notes, decision issued on 14.02.2019.*

5.0 **CONSULTATIONS**

5.1 **Ecology** – No objection subject to conditions.

5.2 **Highways** – No objection subject to conditions.

5.3 **Natural England** – No objection subject to securing mitigation.

6.0 **REPRESENTATIONS** Expired 17.04.2019

6.1 **Amport Parish Council** – Objection.

- Overbearing development, with highway concerns close to the road junction
- The PC also has concerns over the parking in this busy close

6.2 **2 letters from 45 Fairways 2 letters with addresses unattached** – Objection (summarised).

Impact on the character and appearance of the area

- Feel that a house on the end would not fit with the houses going the other way up fairways, it would stick out quite a lot

Highways

- There is already very limited parking in Fairways and two 3 bedroom houses could generate 6-8 more cars
- The applicant currently has 3 vehicles, one on the verge, one on the driveway and one on the road with 2 people living there
- Most modern households have more than 2 cars, concerned that proposal will end up with parking on the narrow road and pavement
- Children play out on the road in the summer and parked cars on the road are a hazard
- The proposed layout shows that the current driveway will be lengthened but human nature is to leave one car on the road rather than swapping them around, as it is easier

6.3 **1 letter in total from 37 Fairways** – Comment (summarised).

- The application references the existing perimeter hedge is to be retained but this was removed months ago, leaving residents exposed to the unsightly mess of building materials
- Concerned about the impression it leaves for those trying to sell or let their homes in the street
- Also concerned that it exposes local children to a number of hazards should they venture across the non-existent boundary into the garden

7.0 **POLICY**

7.1 Government Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG)

7.2 Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan (2016) (TVBRLP)

Policy SD1: Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development

Policy COM2: Settlement Hierarchy

Policy E1: High Quality Development in the Borough

Policy E5: Biodiversity

Policy E7: Water Management

Policy LHW4: Amenity

Policy T1: Managing Movement

Policy T2: Parking Standards

7.3 Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD)

Amport Village Design Statement (2008)

8.0 **PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS**

8.1 The main planning considerations are:

- Principle of development
- Impact on the character and appearance of the area
- Impact on the amenity of neighbouring property
- Ecology
- Water Management
- Highways

8.2 **Principle of development**

The application site lies within the settlement boundary of Weyhill as defined on the Inset Maps of the TVBRLP. In accordance with Policy COM2 of the TVBRLP, development is permitted provided the proposal is appropriate to other policies of the TVBRLP. The proposal is assessed against relevant policies below.

8.3 **Impact on the character and appearance of the area**

Policy E1 of the TVBRLP is pertinent to design and the impact on the character of the area, stating as follows:

Development will be permitted if it is of a high quality in terms of design and local distinctiveness. To achieve this development:

a) should integrate, respect and complement the character of the area in which the development is located in terms of layout, appearance, scale, materials and building styles;

- 8.4 In this instance, the application site is a corner plot and therefore the proposed development would be seen in context with both the street scene of Fyfield Road to the north, as well as Fairways to the west. With regard to the existing characteristics of Fyfield Road, it is considered that there is a characteristic of spaciousness arising from the significant setback distance of properties and a substantial grass verge adjacent to the front (north) boundary of the application site. By comparison, the existing street scene of Fairways is comparatively more enclosed due to the presence of substantial hedgerows and low boundary walls adjacent to the public footpath.
- 8.5 With regard to the impact of the proposed development, it is noted that the proposal would adjoin the existing end property of the existing terraced dwellings and project towards the public highway of Fairways. Consequently, aside from the modest proposed porch addition, there would be no significant projection beyond the existing building line towards Fyfield Road, with a large section of undeveloped garden space also retained at the front (north) of the plot. As a result, it is considered that the proposed layout would avoid any visual detriment to the existing street scene of Fyfield Road.
- 8.6 In relation to the impact of the proposed scheme on the existing street scene of Fairways, the side (west) elevation of the proposed dwelling would be located approximately 4.8m from the public footpath that adjoins this boundary of the application site. In conjunction with the proposed hipped roof finish that serves to reduce the visual appearance of the additional massing, it is considered the retained intervening distance is sufficient to avoid an overly enclosing or unduly visually prominent impact when viewed from Fairways.
- 8.7 To avoid the presentation of a blank elevation towards Fairways, additional window detailing has been included and the proposal would utilise matching external materials throughout. With regard to boundary treatments, the submitted site plan shows the western boundary demarcated with hedgerows that would complement the existing hedgerows already present within the street scene.
- 8.8 On this matter, the removal of the previous hedgerows onsite, as raised by the third party representation, is noted. Given the visual prominence of this section of the application site and the requirement for the proposed scheme to subdivide the existing plot to provide an additional private garden space (as covered in the section below), it is considered necessary and appropriate to secure the submission of the specification, implementation programme and maintenance details of hedgerow planting to cover the entire length of the western boundary. The imposition of this condition as part of the recommendation would ensure the establishment of a mature hedgerow along the western boundary of the application site with the exception of a gap for the proposed vehicle access point. As a result, it is considered that the appearance of the proposed scheme would integrate and respect the settlement character of the area.

8.9 Following the assessment undertaken above, it is considered therefore that the proposed development would integrate with the existing character of the settlement area. Consequently, the application is in accordance with Policy E1 of the TVBRLP.

8.10 Impact on the amenity of neighbouring property

Privacy

The proposed development includes the provision of windows in the front (north), side (west) and rear (south) elevations. With regard to the windows in the front (north) elevation, it would only be possible to obtain oblique views of the front garden area for the existing dwelling and as such, it is not considered that this would result in an adverse overlooking impact. In relation to the ground floor, high-level windows in the side (west) elevation, these would face the public highway with the existing vegetation screening present at no. 47 Fairways screening any views of the property directly opposite.

8.11 The proposed rear (south) elevation includes both ground floor and first floor fenestration, with the proposed boundary fence enclosing the rear (south) garden area providing sufficient screening from the ground floor elements. With regard to the two first floor windows, it is acknowledged that oblique views of the residential garden of the existing property will be possible. However, due to the presence of first floor windows at the adjoining property on the other side, no. 3 Fairways, this amount of overlooking is not considered to be materially significant.

8.12 In relation to the potential impact on 5 Fairways, as the neighbouring property directly opposite the rear (south) elevation, given the presence of first floor windows already in the corresponding elevation of the existing dwelling, it is not considered that the proposal would materially alter the current level of privacy for this neighbouring property. Therefore, it is considered that the proposal adequately provides for the privacy of neighbouring properties, in accordance with criterion (a) of Policy LHW4.

8.13 Daylight/Sunlight Provision

Due to the orientation of the proposed development, any additional shadow would fall within the application site itself and adjoining public highway. As a result, it is not considered that the proposed scheme would materially impact the existing level of daylight or sunlight provision for any neighbouring property.

8.14 Private Open Space

The proposed site plan demonstrates the provision of private garden space measuring approximately 60m² for the existing dwelling and approximately 80m² for the proposed dwelling. Sufficient privacy from the public realm for the garden space serving the proposed dwelling will be provided by the establishment of a hedgerow along the western boundary of the application site. Consequently, it is considered that the proposal sufficiently provides for the amenity of the occupants for both the existing and proposed dwellings, complying with criterion (b) of Policy LHW4. As a result, the application is in accordance with Policy LHW4 of the TVBRLP as a whole.

8.15 **Ecology**

On-site biodiversity

The location of the proposed development currently comprises an area of maintained lawn and therefore it is not considered that the proposal would not result in any adverse impacts on existing habitat or on-site ecology.

8.16 Off-site biodiversity: Nutrient Neutrality (Solent and Southampton Water SPA)

Natural England advises that there are high levels of nitrogen and phosphorus input to the water environment of the Solent region caused by wastewater from existing housing and from agricultural sources and that these nutrients are causing eutrophication at the designated nature conservation sites which includes the Solent Water SPA. This results in dense mats of green algae that are impacting on the Solent's protected habitats and bird species.

8.17 Natural England advises that one way to address the uncertainty is to achieve nutrient neutrality whereby an individual scheme would not add to nutrient burdens. In this instance, a nutrient budget calculation was undertaken identifying that the proposed scheme, in the absence of any mitigation generated, will generate a total additional nitrate output of 2.9kg/N/yr.

8.18 To address this issue, Test Valley Borough Council has implemented a strategic nitrate offsetting mitigation scheme. This strategic scheme comprises the offsetting of agricultural land previously utilised for the purposes of pig farming, located at Roke Manor Farm, Awbridge.

8.19 Evidence of this historic land use at Roke has been provided to Natural England and no objection has been raised to this classification. Following the completion of a legal agreement with the landowner, this land is now being removed from agricultural use in accordance with a set timetable set out in the associated management plan. The management plan also includes a schedule of ongoing maintenance, preventing any future agricultural use onsite with Natural England raising no objection to the timetable and ongoing maintenance measures set out in the management plan.

8.20 Following the implementation of this strategic offsetting scheme at Roke, a substantial net reduction in nitrate loading within the Solent catchment area would be achieved. This overall net reduction is utilised as nitrate 'credits', whereby a tariff of financial contributions is calculated based on the cost of implementing and maintaining the strategic offsetting scheme per kg/TN/yr saved. Currently, the financial contribution is £3,000 per kilogram total nitrogen (and this comprises a "credit") to be offset, with the methodology of calculating the financial cost monitored and kept under review as well as subject to indexation. In addition, an administration fee of £100, which is also kept under review, is incurred from the monitoring of s106 agreements.

8.21 To monitor the purchasing of nitrate credits and to prevent any possibility of credits for the same land being bought twice, Test Valley Borough Council maintains a record of credits purchased such that credits are only made available to applicants where that credit exists. In this instance, sufficient capacity exists to offset the nitrate loading generated by the proposed development subject to the securing of the financial contribution calculated as follows:

2.9Kg/TN/yr x £3,000 per kg = £8,700

- 8.22 This financial contribution will be secured through the completion of a legal agreement prior to determination. In addition, a Grampian condition will also be necessary to ensure that the development is not occupied until the land at Roke ceases to be under agricultural use, in order to allow the management plan to be implemented. Given the previous completion of the legal agreement between the landowner at Roke and TVBC, it is considered that there is a reasonable prospect of the strategic nitrate offsetting scheme being implemented and therefore, the application of a Grampian condition is appropriate.
- 8.23 On this basis, an appropriate assessment has been completed concluding that the proposal would achieve nutrient neutrality, with no objection being raised by Natural England to the conclusions reached. As a result, the proposed development would not result in adverse effects on the Solent designated sites through water quality impacts arising from nitrate generation and the application is in accordance with Policy E5 of the TVBRLP.
- 8.24 **Water Management**
Policy E7 relates to water management and seeks to ensure that development will not adversely impact ground water resources and complies with national guidance on flood risk and water efficiency. In this instance, the proposed dwelling is not located in position that would result in the deterioration of water quality and the application site is not within a Groundwater Protection Zone. In addition, as the application site is positioned within Flood Zone 1 then it is considered that the proposal complies with the national and policy guidance on flood risk and subsequently, complies with criterion (b). With regard to criterion (d), a condition is attached to cover this requirement and therefore, the application is in accordance with Policy E7 of the TVBRLP.
- 8.25 **Highways**
Access
The existing dwelling would utilise the existing vehicular access onto 4 Fairways, with the proposed dwelling served by a new access located adjacent to the side (west) boundary of the application site. In response to initial concerns raised by the Highways Officer, details of the visibility splay from both existing and proposed accesses were submitted.
- 8.26 This additional drawing demonstrates that a visibility splay of at least 43m can be achieved from both accesses in both directions, in accordance with the standards set out in Hampshire County Council's Technical Guidance note (TG3). As a result, it is not considered that there will be any adverse harm to the highway safety of the local road network from the utilisation of either access, with the Highways Officer confirming no objection in response to the additional detail provided. Therefore, the application is in accordance with Policy T1 of the TVBRLP. Conditions have been added to ensure the retention of the proposed visibility splays in perpetuity and the use of a non-migratory material.

8.27 Parking

The proposed site plan demonstrates the provision of two on-site car parking spaces for both the existing and proposed dwelling, in accordance with the minimum car parking standards as set out in Annexe G and Policy T2 of the TVBRLP.

8.28 As such, whilst the concerns raised within the 3rd party representations are noted, due to compliance with the minimum parking standards set out in the TVBRLP it is considered that the proposed parking provision is acceptable. To ensure that the proposed parking is implemented prior to occupation of the proposed development, a condition has been added securing this detail.

8.29 Other Matters

A third party representation has been received raising concern about the unsightly appearance of the existing property and the potential hazards to residents accessing the property, following the removal of a previous hedgerow onsite. As identified above, the recommendation includes the submission of planting details to ensure the successful establishment of a replacement hedgerow onsite. With regard to the alleged unsightly appearance of the application site currently, there are other possible, available controls outside of the planning application process (such as section 215 Untidy land notices) that can be pursued if necessary.

9.0 **CONCLUSION**

9.1 The proposal is considered acceptable and in accordance with the policies of the TVBRLP.

9.2 This recommendation is subject to the completion of a legal agreement to secure the financial contribution of £8,700 (plus £100 administration fee) towards the strategic nitrate offsetting scheme at Roke Manor Farm.

10.0 **RECOMMENDATION**

Delegate to the Head of Planning and Building for the following:

- **The completion of a legal agreement to secure a financial contribution towards the strategic nitrate offsetting scheme at Roke Manor Farm, to ensure the development achieves nutrient neutrality**

then PERMISSION subject to:

1. **The development hereby permitted shall be begun within three years from the date of this permission.**
Reason: To comply with the provision of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.
2. **The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out except in complete accordance with the details shown on the submitted plans:**
 - **Site Location Plan (2919-02)**
 - **Proposed Composite Plan (2919-01 Rev A)**
 - **Details – Visibility Splays (2919-03 Rev A)**

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

- 3. The external materials to be used in the construction of all external surfaces of the development hereby permitted shall match in type, colour and texture those used in the existing building.**
Reason: To ensure the development has a satisfactory external appearance in the interest of visual amenities in accordance with Policy E1 of the Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan (2016).
- 4. The development hereby approved shall be designed and built to meet Regulation 36 2 (b) requirement of 110 litres/person/day water efficiency set out in part G2 of Building Regulations 2015.**
Reason: In the interests of improving water usage efficiency in accordance with Policy E7 of the Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan (2016).
- 5. Prior to the first occupation of development the access shall be constructed with the visibility splays of 43 metres by 2 metres and maintained as such at all times. Within these visibility splays notwithstanding the provisions of the Town & Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (or any Order revoking and re-enacting that Order) no obstacles, including walls, fences and vegetation, shall exceed the height of 1 metre above the level of the existing carriageway at any time.**
Reason: In the interest of highway safety in accordance with Policy T1 of the Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan (2016).
- 6. At least the first 6 metres of the access track measured from the nearside edge of carriageway of the adjacent highway shall be surfaced in a non-migratory material prior to the use of the access commencing and retained as such at all times.**
Reason: In the interest of highway safety in accordance with Policy T1 of the Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan (2016).
- 7. The development shall not be occupied until space has been laid out and provided for the parking of vehicles in accordance with the approved plan and this space shall thereafter be reserved for such purposes at all times.**
Reason: In the interests of highway safety in accordance with Policy T1 of the Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan (2016).
- 8. The development hereby permitted shall not be permitted to be occupied until agricultural activity on that part of the land at Roke Manor Farm to which the legal agreement that accompanies this planning permission, has ceased. This being no earlier than 31st October 2021, in accordance with the Holbury Consultancy Roke Manor Farm Nitrate Mitigation scheme report (dated May 2021).**
Reason: To ensure the mitigation required to prevent the adverse effect that arises from premature occupation (relative to when the mitigation will be in place) does not adversely affect the special interest of the Solent and Southampton Water Special Protection Area, in accordance with Policy E5 of the Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan (2016), and the Habitats Regulations.

9. **Notwithstanding the details on the submitted site plan, the development hereby permitted shall not be occupied until the specification, implementation and management schedule (covering a minimum period of 5 years) of hedgerow planting along the western boundary of the application site has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The landscape management plan shall include long term design objectives, management responsibilities and maintenance schedules for all landscape areas. The landscaping shall be maintained in accordance with the approved schedule. Any planting that is removed, die or become, in the opinion of the Local Planning Authority, seriously damaged or defective within this period, shall be replaced before the end of the current or first available planting season following the failure, removal or damage of the planting.**

Reason: To enable the development to respect, complement and positively integrate into the character of the area in accordance with Policy E1 of the Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan (2016).

Note to applicant:

1. **In reaching this decision Test Valley Borough Council (TVBC) has had regard to the National Planning Policy Framework and takes a positive and proactive approach to development proposals focused on solutions. TVBC work with applicants and their agents in a positive and proactive manner offering a pre-application advice service and updating applicants/agents of issues that may arise in dealing with the application and where possible suggesting solutions.**
-



Siteplan



REPRODUCED FROM ORDNANCE SURVEY MAPPING
WITH THE PERMISSION OF THE CONTROLLER OF
HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICER © CROWN COPYRIGHT.
UNAUTHORISED REPRODUCTION INFRINGES CROWN COPYRIGHT
AND MAY LEAD TO PROSECUTION OR CIVIL PROCEEDINGS.

19/00428/FULLN



Leslie Timmons BSc (Hons) MRICS
Chartered Building Surveyor
 Office 3, Pelican House, New Street
 Andover SP10 1DR
 01264 369824

Client
 Derek Douch
 4 Fairways
 Weyhill, Nr Andover SP11 8DW

Project
 New attached 3 bedroom dwelling on
 land adjacent to 4 Fairways

Drawing title

Location plan & site layout

Scale
 1:1250, 1:250


Drawn
 LJT

Date
 February 2019


Drawing No.
 2919-02

Revision


This drawing is the copyright of Leslie Timmons and must not be reproduced or used without permission. Figured dimensions to be taken in preference to scaled dimensions and site dimensions must be checked before work is commenced.




Site Layout
 Scale 1:250 @ A3




Location Plan
 Scale 1:1250 @ A3






Proposed First Floor Layout




Proposed Ground Floor Layout



PROPOSED ELEVATIONS



Existing Front Elevation



Proposed Street Scene
as viewed from Fyfield Road

REVISIONS

A - 26/4/2019

Visibility splays amended.



Leslie Timmons BSc (Hons) MRICS
Chartered Building Surveyor

Office 3, Pelican House, New Street
Andover SP10 1DR
01264 369824

Client

Derek Douch
4 Fairways
Weyhill, Nr Andover
SP11 8DW

Project

New attached 3 bedroom dwelling on land adjacent to 4 Fairways

Drawing title

Planning application

Scale
1:250


Drawn
LJT

Date
March 2019


Drawing No.
2919-03

Revision
A

This drawing is the copyright of Leslie Timmons and must not be reproduced or used in any way without the written permission of Leslie Timmons. All dimensions and site dimensions must be taken in preference to scaled dimensions and site dimensions must be checked before work is commenced.



Visibility splays for No.4 parking



Visibility splays for proposed new dwelling parking

ITEM 8

APPLICATION NO.	21/01734/FULLN
APPLICATION TYPE	FULL APPLICATION - NORTH
REGISTERED	08.06.2021
APPLICANT	Mr and Mrs Martin and Sarah Bloss
SITE	Quippini Cottage, 113 Salisbury Road, Abbots Ann Down, SP11 7BX ABBOTTS ANN
PROPOSAL	Single storey rear extension and associated alterations
AMENDMENTS	None
CASE OFFICER	Miss Emma Jones

Background paper (Local Government Act 1972 Section 100D)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 The application is presented to Northern Area Planning Committee in accordance with the Member and Officer Interests Protocol.

2.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

2.1 Quippini Cottage is a two storey semi-detached property located in the countryside, outside of the village of Abbots Ann.

3.0 PROPOSAL

3.1 Single storey rear extension and associated alterations

3.2 The proposed development would involve the removal of an existing single storey structure to the rear of the property, which was formerly an outbuilding (attached to the outbuilding at the adjacent neighbouring property), but is now attached to and integrated with the two storey rear extension at Quippini Cottage. The proposed extension would have an L shape, and would wrap around the existing two storey rear extension, infilling an existing yard area to the side. The proposed extension would have a flat roof with Victorian style rooflight windows above. The brickwork to be used in the construction of the proposed extension, and the windows to be installed, would match the existing dwelling.

4.0 HISTORY

4.1 17/02399/FULLN; Erection of detached carport – Permission - 17.11.2017

4.2 TVN.05433; Erection of two-storey extension and detached double garage - Permission - 10.11.1988

5.0 CONSULTATIONS

5.1 None.

6.0 **REPRESENTATIONS** Expired 02.07.2021

6.1 **Abbots Ann Parish Council:** No objection.

7.0 **POLICY**

7.1 Government Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG)

7.2 Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan (2016)(TVBRLP)

Policy SD1 – Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development

Policy COM2 – Settlement Hierarchy

Policy COM11 – Existing Dwellings and Ancillary Domestic buildings in the countryside

Policy E1- High Quality Development in the Borough

Policy LHW4 – Amenity

8.0 **PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS**

8.1 The main planning considerations are:

- Principle of development
- Impact on the character and appearance of the area
- Impact on amenity

8.2 **Principle of development**

The sites lies within the countryside as defined on the Inset Maps of the TVBRLP. In accordance with Policy COM2 of the TVBRLP development of the nature proposed is permissible provided the proposal complies with Policy COM11. The proposal is assessed against this policy below.

8.3 **Impact on the character and appearance of the area**

The development would be located in a position such that limited public views would be possible. Given the design and size of the extension and that any glimpsed views of the proposal would be seen in the context of the existing dwelling, it is considered that the proposal would not give rise to a more visually intrusive dwelling in this countryside location, with the proposed extension being subsidiary to the existing dwelling, and in keeping with the surrounding area. The proposal would comply with Policies COM2, COM11 and E1 of the TVBRLP.

8.4 **Impact on amenity**

The main neighbouring property to consider in respect of amenity is the attached dwelling at Pennyfarthing Cottage. The proposed extension would enclose an existing external courtyard area at Quippini Cottage, which is adjacent to the common boundary with this neighbouring property. There is currently a 1.6m high (approximately) wall along this boundary, and the existing two storey rear extension at Quippini Cottage would also already cast a shadow over the area of garden at Pennyfarthing Cottage that is located to the other side of the common boundary wall. These features would also already restrict daylight to a ground floor window at Pennyfarthing Cottage, which is also constrained by their own single storey rear extension. By virtue

of the size (bulk and mass) and design of the proposal, the nature of the intervening boundary treatments, and the presence of the existing two storey rear extension at the application site, the proposal would not give rise to any adverse impacts on the living conditions of neighbouring properties by virtue of loss of daylight, sun light, or privacy. The proposal is in accordance with Policy LHW4 of the TVBRLP.

9.0 CONCLUSION

9.1 The proposal is considered to be acceptable and in accordance with the relevant policies of the Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan 2016.

10.0 RECOMMENDATION


PERMISSION subject to:

1. **The development hereby permitted shall be begun within three years from the date of this permission.
Reason: To comply with the provision of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.**
2. **The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out except in complete accordance with the details shown on the submitted plans, numbers: Block Plan; 2021005/2A.
Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.**
3. **The external materials to be used in the construction of all external surfaces of the development hereby permitted (excluding the roof) shall match in type, colour and texture those used in the existing building.
Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual relationship of the new development with the existing in accordance with Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan (2016) Policy E1.**

Note to Applicant:

1. **In reaching this decision Test Valley Borough Council (TVBC) has had regard to the National Planning Policy Framework and takes a positive and proactive approach to development proposals focused on solutions. TVBC work with applicants and their agents in a positive and proactive manner offering a pre-application advice service and updating applicants/agents of issues that may arise in dealing with the application and where possible suggesting solutions.**
-

Abbots Ann Do




Siteplan




REPRODUCED FROM ORDNANCE SURVEY MAPPING
 WITH THE PERMISSION OF THE CONTROLLER OF
 HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICER © CROWN COPYRIGHT.
 UNAUTHORISED REPRODUCTION INFRINGES CROWN COPYRIGHT
 AND MAY LEAD TO PROSECUTION OR CIVIL PROCEEDINGS.

21/01734/FULLN



Produced 08 Jun 2021 from the Ordnance Survey MasterMap (Topography) Database and incorporating surveyed revision available at this date.

The representation of a road, track or path is no evidence of a right of way. The representation of features as lines is no evidence of a property boundary.




1:500




113, Quippini Cottage, Salisbury Rd,
Abbotts Ann Down
SP11 7BX

Supplied by: **Latitude Mapping Ltd**
Licence: © Crown Copyright and
database rights 2021 OS 100038864
Reference: OI1478276
Centre coordinates: 432090 141174


Elevations Scale Bar 1:100




South East



South West




North West




North East

Elevations



Ground Floor Plan



First Floor Plan



Floor Plans Scale Bar 1:50




Jem Musselwhite C.Build E FCABE, MIET, MIconstM. Chartered Building Engineer & Surveyor.
106 Buriton Road, Winchester, Hants, SO22 6JF. Tel 07758 746207 - e-mail jem@jem-musselwhite.co.uk www.jem-musselwhite.co.uk
Quippini Cottage, 113 Abbotts Ann Down, Andover. Extension & Alterations To Dwelling. for Mr. & Mrs. Bloss.
As Existing Floor Plans & Elevations.
Scales 1:50 & 1:100 @ A1 Sized Paper Dwg. No. 2021005/1.


Elevations Scale Bar 1:100




Elevations




South East




South West




North West



North East



Ground Floor Plan



First Floor Plan



Floor Plans Scale Bar 1:50



Jem Musselwhite C.Build E FCABE, MIET, MIconstM. Chartered Building Engineer & Surveyor.
106 Buriton Road, Winchester, Hants, SO22 6JF. Tel 07758 746207 - e-mail jem@jem-musselwhite.co.uk www.jem-musselwhite.co.uk
Quippini Cottage, 113 Abbotts Ann Down, Andover. Extension & Alterations To Dwelling. for Mr. & Mrs. Bloss.
As Proposed Floor Plans & Elevations.
Scales 1:50 & 1:100 @ A1 Sized Paper Dwg. No. 2021005/2A.